TO:

Muhammad Pate, Global Director Health, Nutrition and Population (HNP), and Director of the Global Financing Facility (GFF)

Mamta Murthi, Vice President, Human Development, World Bank Group CC:

David Malpass, President of the World Bank Group
Makhtar Diop, IFC Managing Director and Executive Vice President
Imad Fakhoury, Director Infrastructure Finance, PPPs and Guarantees (IPG) Group
World Bank Executive Directors

# "Urgent call to the World Bank Group to strengthen public health financing and refrain from promoting public-private partnerships in health care"

24 March, 2021

The Covid-19 pandemic has shown that <u>public investment in health</u> is urgent and crucial for states' obligations to fulfil the right to health for all. The World Bank as well as the World Bank-hosted Global Financing Facility, have been contributors to countries' health systems strengthening efforts with a view on urgent health challenges. However, some aspects of the World Bank contribution to development assistance deeply concern us. In line with the '<u>Maximizing Finance for Development Strategy</u>', the World Bank Group has been promoting Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) with commercial companies as a preferred development strategy, including in healthcare, alongside with investments in private healthcare.

We, Wemos and co-signing organisations, strongly urge the World Bank Group to focus on strengthening public health financing, and refrain from promoting PPPs in healthcare because of the associated risks to the public purse in the long run and the risk of worsening existing inequalities.

## Evidence-based concerns on PPPs in healthcare

The enclosed position paper 'Risky Business: the promotion of Public-Private Partnerships in healthcare' (Wemos, 2021) and its Executive Summary analyses the current evidence on the effectiveness of PPPs in healthcare. It indicates that promoting healthcare PPPs in low- and middle-income countries often has a negative effect on achieving equal access to quality key health services for everyone. PPPs in healthcare are more expensive and riskier than public provision, thus contributing to the burden of debt and potentially diverting scarce public resources away from primary healthcare and other urgent needs in the health system. Moreover, PPPs show limited success in addressing access to healthcare of the poorest and most vulnerable populations, and the inflexible PPP contracts constrain already stretched health budgets that need to be responsive to public health needs and crises. Earlier papers have also critically reviewed PPPs in healthcare, such as those published by ISER, Jubilee Debt Campaign, Latindadd & Eurodad, ODG, and Oxfam.

#### Critical review of PPPs across social sectors

The use of PPPs across sectors has widely been assessed and discouraged by official institutions (such as the <u>European Court of Auditors</u>), scholars and researchers (see 2021 book edited by <u>Gideon and Unterhalter</u>), human rights institutions (such as the <u>African Commission on Peoples' Rights</u>), and civil society organisations (as in the 2017 <u>PPP manifesto</u> and the 2020 <u>briefing of the Gender & Development Network</u>). In fact, due to the high cost and complexity of PPPs, European countries like <u>Spain</u> and <u>the UK</u>, which previously invested in PPPs in healthcare, are now abandoning this type of arrangement.

### A 'public first' approach to health financing

As concerned civil society, we call on the World Bank Group, its member countries, and the World Bank-hosted Global Financing Facility to adopt a 'public first' approach to healthcare. This approach implies using all possible ways to expand public resources for health, including official development resources, before resorting to private financing and commercial solutions. As reiterated in the WHO's evidence-based guidance on health financing: to progress towards Universal Health Coverage and health security, policymakers should prioritise public over private financing in healthcare. Actors in development assistance, including the World Bank Group, can support low- and middle-income countries in strengthening the public purse for health and public healthcare provision. This can be achieved, among others, through technical and financial assistance that is free of commercial interest, tackling tax avoidance and through debt cancellation. This way these countries would truly be supported to realise the right to health and achieve universal and equitable access to healthcare. A first step of this approach would be to stop promoting PPPs as a strategy for healthcare delivery and financing, as long as there is no independent, detailed and locally validated body of evidence that shows a lasting positive impact on fiscal space for health, efficiency, and equitable access.

We would very much appreciate a response on these asks at your earliest convenience, so we can discuss them in more detail.

Thank you for considering this request.

Yours sincerely, on behalf of the undersigned,

Mariëlle Bemelmans Director Wemos

#### **Co-signing Organizations:**

- 1. Birkbeck, University of London United Kingdom
- 2. Health Accountability Consortium Sierra Leone
- 3. Wote Youth Development Projects Kenya
- 4. Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Network (WASH-Net) Sierra Leone
- 5. Youth Partnership for Peace and Development (YPPD) Sierra Leone
- 6. Innovative Alliance for Public Health India
- 7. Indigenous Peoples Global Forum for Sustainable Development (IPFforSD, International Indigenous Platfrom) Global
- 8. Emonyo Yefwe International Kenya
- 9. Alliance of Women Advocating for Change Uganda Uganda
- 10. Afrihealth Optonet Association (CSOs Network) Nigeria
- 11. Public Services International Global
- 12. Dr Uzo Adirieje Foundation (DUZAFOUND) Nigeria
- 13. Viva Salud Belgium
- 14. Global Justice Now United Kingdom
- 15. Our Lady of Perpetual Help Initiative Nigeria
- 16. Southern and Eastern Africa Trade Information and Negotiations Institute (SEATINI) Uganda
- 17. Centre for Health Science and Law (CHSL) Canada
- 18. Human Rights Research Documentation Center (HURIC) Uganda
- 19. People's Health Movement Uganda Chapter Uganda
- 20. Gestos (soropositividade, comunicação, gênero) Brazil
- 21. Medical IMPACT Mexico

- 22. Madhira Institute Kenya
- 23. People's Health Movement Kenya (PHM Kenya) Kenya
- 24. DAWN (Development Alternatives with Women for a New Era) Fiji
- 25. Mumbo International Kenya
- 26. Fresh Eyes United Kingdom
- 27. Public Services International Global
- 28. Union des Amis Socio Culturels d'Action en Develppement (UNASCAD) Haiti
- 29. Society for Access to Quality Education Pakistan
- 30. Medicus Mundi Spain Spain
- 31. Muslim Family Counselling Services Ghana
- 32. ActionAid International South Africa
- 33. CNCD-11.11.11 Belgium
- 34. Associação para a Cooperação Entre os Povos (ACEP) Portugal
- 35. Reality of Aid, Asia Pacific Philippines
- 36. Instituto 5 Elementos, Educação para a Sustentabilidade Brasil
- 37. Golden change for concerned youth forum (GCCYF) Nigeria
- 38. Public Health Concern Trust, NEPAL (PHECT-NEPAL) Nepal
- 39. Society for International Development (SID) Italy
- 40. IBFAN, International Baby Food Action Network United Kingdom
- 41. PEAH, Policies for Equitable Access to Health Italy
- 42. University of Bologna Italy
- 43. Jubilee Scotland Scotland
- 44. Diakonia Sweden
- 45. Initiative for Health & Equity in Society India
- 46. Mian Muhammad Bukhsh Trust (MMBT) Pakistan
- 47. VSO, Voluntary Service Overseas Ethiopia
- 48. Health Reform Foundation of Nigeria Nigeria
- 49. Health NGO's Network (HENNET) Kenya
- 50. White Ribbon Alliance Pakistan
- 51. Rwanda NGOs Forum on HIV/AIDS and Health Promotion Rwanda
- 52. Abubuwa Societal Development Initiative (ASDI) Nigeria
- 53. INSIST Indonesia
- 54. Centre for Human Rights and Development Mongolia
- 55. North-East Affected Area Development Society (NEADS) India
- 56. Center for Good Governance and Peace Nepal
- 57. Social and Economic Policies Monitor (Al Marsad) Occupied Palestine
- 58. Fondazione Centro Studi Allineare Sanità e Salute Italy
- 59. STOPAIDS United Kingdom
- 60. Associazione Medici per nl'Ambiente, ISDE Italia Italy
- 61. PIANGO Fiji
- 62. Bank Information Center United States
- 63. Consortium of Reproductive Health Associations (CORHA) Ethiopia
- 64. Juba Samaj Kallayan Sangstha (JSKS) Bangladesh
- 65. University of Sydney India/Australia
- 66. Medicus Mundi International, Network Health for All Switzerland/global
- 67. Initiative for Social and Economic Rights (ISER) Uganda
- 68. Jan Arogya Abhiyan (Peoples Health Movement Maharashtra) India
- 69. National Advocates for Health Nigeria
- 70. Rescue the women foundation (REWOFO) Nigeria
- 71. Human Rights Research Documentation (HURIC) Uganda
- 72. Good Health Community Programmes Kenya

- 73. People's Health Movement Uganda (PHMUGA) Uganda
- 74. Nana Girls and Women Empowerment Initiatives Nigeria
- 75. Catholic Health Association of Tamilnadu India
- 76. Udayan Swabolombee Sangstha (USS) Bangladesh
- 77. RomaJust Roma Lawyers Association România
- 78. SODECA Kenya
- 79. United Nations' Massage Therapists International Federation Ghana
- 80. International Union of Parents and Teachers Association Ghana
- 81. Union Syndicale des Agriculteurs Togo
- 82. Union Internationale des Voyageurs Togo
- 83. International Union of Travellers Ghana
- 84. Syndicat des Commerçants de Produits des Organiques et Industriels Togo
- 85. STI/AIDS Network of Bangladesh (SANB) Bangladesh
- 86. Akina Mama wa Afrika Uganda
- 87. TORANG TRUST India
- 88. Global Initiative for Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (GI-ESCR) Global
- 89. African Forum and Network on Debt and Development Zimbabwe
- 90. Centre for Health and Social Justice India
- 91. Latindadd Perú
- 92. Oxfam Global
- 93. European Network on Debt and Development (Eurodad) Belgium/Europe